



MAIL STOP  
AMENDMENT

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: D.N. Rudo Attorney Docket No.: RUDO121677  
Application No.: 10/656,088 Art Unit: 1714 / Confirmation No: 9931  
Filed: September 5, 2003 Examiner: T.H. Yoon  
Title: TRIAXIAL WEAVE FOR REINFORCING DENTAL RESINS

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Seattle, Washington 98101

October 25, 2005

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

In view of the following remarks, applicant submits that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance.

Rejection of Claims 1-26 Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Rudo (U.S. Patent No. 5,176,951) in View of Silvestrini et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,610,688) or Kapadia et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,816,028), and Further in View of Head (U.S. Patent No. 6,250,193) With or Without Akahane et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,962,550)

The Examiner argues that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the instant invention, to utilize the triaxial braided or woven fiber configuration taught by Silvestrini et al. or Kapadia et al. in the invention of Rudo to yield the present invention. The Examiner further argues that, although the Figure of Rudo does not show a detailed structure; however, the cross-section looks like a triaxial configuration.

Attached hereto as Attachment A is the Declaration of David Rudo (hereinafter "the Rudo Declaration") who is the inventor of both the present invention and the invention disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,176,951 (hereinafter "the '951 patent"). As set forth in paragraph 2 of the Rudo Declaration, the '951 patent does not disclose a triaxial material. A triaxial material is not used in the practice of the invention disclosed in the '951 patent.

LAW OFFICES OF  
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLLC</sup>  
1420 Fifth Avenue  
Suite 2800  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
206.682.8100